Jugulador said: ↑
The issue with F1 is not cars or tracks. I don't believe that unsafer cars/tracks can improve competition. The only thing that can do that is to make the regulations more open.

Racing engineering is all about find holes in regulation, but if you do an excessively strict ruleset, that points even the size of car's bolts, only teams with more money will be able to exploit it and that's why, since late 80s, those long lasting team dominances become the standard for F1. Events like the Brawn's championship (that some people say that is not that underdog story that we use to believe) will be harder and harder to happen.

Click to expand...

There is also the issue of powertrains. For years, if you get get your hands of a cosworth engine, you could pretty much be competive in any racing series you pleased. Now everything is so incredibly high tech that it might as well be anti gravity racing. F1 teams probably spend more on a single shock then a entire Nascar cup car.

Honestly, I think motorsports needs 4 key things

1. Outlaw sequential transmissions and return to the H Pattern, taking away the biggest crutch in motorsports and put car performance directly back in the hands of the driver.
2. ICE cars with open development like F1 or manufacture significance like IMSA should use a manufacture built stock block avilable in a street car avilable for less than $100,000 USD. What you do to it afterwords is up to you.

Dylan Hale said: ↑
There is also the issue of powertrains. For years, if you get get your hands of a cosworth engine, you could pretty much be competive in any racing series you pleased. Now everything is so incredibly high tech that it might as well be anti gravity racing. F1 teams probably spend more on a single shock then a entire Nascar cup car.

Honestly, I think motorsports needs 4 key things

1. Outlaw sequential transmissions and return to the H Pattern, taking away the biggest crutch in motorsports and put car performance directly back in the hands of the driver.
2. ICE cars with open development like F1 or manufacture significance like IMSA should use a manufacture built stock block avilable in a street car avilable for less than $100,000 USD. What you do to it afterwords is up to you.
3. Any part developed for the car must be made publically avilable for sale for less than 1/10th of the total declared cost of your car. So you're car costs $1,000,000, every single part you made for that car should be available to the public for no more than $100,000 regardless of what it is. Every bolt, every nut, every seat, every valve, every custom turbocharger antilag system.
4. At any time, another team can buy your car for your declared price +5%, lock, stock, and barrel. If you refuse to hand over the car, you will be banned until you pay a fee to both the buyer and the sanctioning body

Click to expand...

The engine issue that you pointed is part of the over regulating that I said. Today, all teams are forced to use one single strict type of hybrid engine with too much things to take into account. Make it more open, just regulating something

basic (as max power and consumption) and let the designers chose what is best for their budget, as it was.

I think that F1 is the right category to deal with high tech and the tendency is that cars will be different in performance, with some teams being more competitive than others, and there is nothing wrong with that because is this nature. But I would prefer to see it happening because of radically different design choices than just because of different team budgets (that is just a competition of how far one can develop a closed idea).

Jugulador, Friday at 2:55 PM
Report
Personally I don't want F1 to go back to what it was (except for sound). This is because the identity of F1 is that of a technological laboratory for things that eventually go into road cars. Carbon fibre, turbos, ABS, TCS and sequential/automatic gearboxes and improved tyres were all developed for F1 and subsequently found road car applications. Even ERS which is hated by some people on this forum has its purpose in developing the regenerative technology to help extend the range of electric and hybrid cars, and the battery technology which underpins it. The ERS system is a feat of modern technology.

In the future when all cars are electric, there will be no more manual gearboxes so it is wasteful for f1 constructors develop this redundant technology. Also safety improvements are paramount to the sport's survival, as any death or serious injuries put off viewership, sponsorships and the money which allows motorsport to happen. Never mind the sake of the drivers' health. This is why safety in motorsport shouldn't be reduced because 'thats how it was'.

rmagid1010, Friday at 4:22 PM
As I said, the issue is not tech development, but to force all teams to go with very specific techs and be too much millimetric about how it should be done.

Personally, I wouldn't even mind if heavy electronic assistance or stuff as fan cars and active suspension are used, as long as not in a way that the teams performances difference don't happens only because of budget, that is what creates these """actual""" (since late 80s) single team long streaks of hegemony that made the F1 something boring and predictable.

Jugulador, Friday at 4:53 PM
rmagid1010 said: ↑
Personally I don't want F1 to go back to what it was (except for sound). This is because the identity of F1 is that of a technological laboratory for things that eventually go into road cars. Carbon fibre, turbos, ABS, TCS and sequential/automatic gearboxes and improved tyres were all developed for F1 and subsequently found road car applications. Even ERS which is hated by some people on this forum has its purpose in developing the regenerative technology to help extend the range of electric and hybrid cars, and the battery technology which underpins it. The ERS system is a feat of modern technology.

In the future when all cars are electric, there will be no more manual gearboxes so it is wasteful for f1 constructors develop this redundant technology. Also safety improvements are paramount to the sport's survival, as any death or serious injuries put off viewership, sponsorships and the money which allows motorsport to happen. Never mind the sake of the drivers' health. This is why safety in motorsport shouldn't be reduced because 'thats how it was'.

Click to expand...

I don't think electric will be the future, in fact I could almost guarantee it. Without a complete re-engineering of the entire world's infrastructure its a uphill battle only the rich will win. We're already facing lithium shortages as every car manufacturer attempts to move to EV tech.

Now hydrogen? Toyota has already proved that hydrogen combustion works, and if they can prefect it further, I don't see why that couldn't take over for gasoline? Instead of replacing or upgrading the entirety of the power grid, we're talking about changing a couple tanks and some pipes at every gas station. Much more doable imo. In that case, there is no reason manual couldn't continue to exist even if exclusively in racing cars.

Dylan Hale, Friday at 4:53 PM

Dylan Hale said: ↑

I don't think electric will be the future, in fact I could almost guarantee it. Without a complete re-engineering of the entire world's infrastructure its a uphill battle only the rich will win. We're already facing lithium shortages as every car manufacturer attempts to move to EV tech.

Now hydrogen? Toyota has already proved that hydrogen combustion works, and if they can prefect it further, I don't see why that couldn't take over for gasoline? Instead of replacing or upgrading the entirety of the power grid, we're talking about changing a couple tanks and some pipes at every gas station. Much more doable imo. In that case, there is no reason manual couldn't continue to exist even if exclusively in racing cars.

Click to expand...

The problem with hydrogen is two fold. First is that storage is very dangerous, and secondly is that because of the gaseous nature of hydrogen, you cant fill as much 'fuel' in as petrol, so range is a lot lower.

Also (although this problem exists for electric as well) is that the hydrogen itself comes from electrolysis of water. This means that the hydrogen is only as clean as the source of electricity, which in many countries might still be fossil fuels.

Edit: Most hydrogen is actually produced from natural gas (thanks @SaxOhare), but the chemical process to convert to Hydrogen releases a lot of greenhouse gases. This is even worse than electrolysis, which could be powered by renewables.

Last edited: Friday at 10:58 PM

rmagid1010, Friday at 5:30 PM

rmagid1010 said: ↑

The problem with hydrogen is two fold. First is that storage is very dangerous, and secondly is that because of the gaseous nature of hydrogen, you cant fill as much 'fuel' in as petrol, so range is a lot lower.

Also (although this problem exists for electric as well) is that the hydrogen itself comes from electrolysis of water. This means that the hydrogen is only as clean as the source of electricity, which in many countries might still be fossil fuels.

Click to expand...

That and it might affect weather in big cities if the exhaust is water; smog gets replaced by increased humidity/rainfall and disrupts weather cycles.

Soon we'll be making electric submarines for urbanites. XD

Bizarre Formula, Friday at 6:35 PM

Bizarre Formula said: ↑
That and it might affect weather in big cities if the exhaust is water; smog gets replaced by increased humidity/rainfall and disrupts weather cycles.

Soon we'll be making electric submarines for urbanites. XD

Click to expand...

Mining metals for a typical Tesla 1000 lbs battery requires extracting hundreds of tons of earth crust. Then extracting and refining the metals from the ore requires some of the most toxic acids known by mankind. Imagine doing that for billion of cars...

CPU i9-9500 | **RAM** 32 GB | **GPU** NVidia GeForce RTX 2080 Ti| **WHEEL** Fanatec Podium F1 2019 | **PEDALS** Fanatec CSP v3 w/load cell brake| **AMS FFB** Gain: 65 LFB: 0 FX: 30 Damping: 0 | **AMS FFB ON GT CARS** Gain: 60 LFB: 0 FX: 30 Damping: 0

Ettore, Friday at 6:45 PM

Electricity is the future for cars... but the 2030 Agenda will fail (as it's already failing) because it's pure political decision, not scientific or a market real demand. And they will come naturally when the time is right... with decent power generation/distribution and safe + efficient batteries.

Even the combustion engines can become more efficient both with consumption as with emissions at the point they become almost harmless.

To stay in topic: When will Reiza release to AMS2 a full electric car?

Jugulador, Friday at 7:02 PM

Here in the USA, there is sometimes, strong resistance to electrification of vehicles.

Enacting laws banning power-charging stations, e-bike use, etc., are not unheard-of. It's hard to know the true motives behind such acts, whether political, economic, environmental, safety, etc. but, then there is also a growing movement to go back in time.

So far, many of the comparisons between ICE vs EV (Considering cradle to grave aspects), EV's are not impressing, from the average user-perspective. The

battery tech, cost advantages and infrastructure, all have a looooooooong way to go before they will be widely accepted. Too often, it seems we are just trading one form of pollution or environmental damage, for another.

PC Mobo *MSi Z490 Pro /* ***CPU*** *Intel i7-10700 /* ***RAM*** *G.Skills RipJaws 32GB DDR4 /* ***OS*** *Windows 10 /* ***SSD*** *2TB NVMe /* ***GPU*** *Nvidia GeForce RTX 3070 /* ***Audio*** *SoundBlaster AE-7 /* ***Tactile & Motion*** *D-Box 1.5" 3250i /* ***Control*** *SimuCube2 Pro / CSS SQ / HE-Pro Pedals /* ***Displays*** *27" triples /* ***VR*** *- Valve Index /* ***Dash*** *Sym-Projects custom dash displays, rev-lights, etc.*
Dean Ogurek, Friday at 7:41 PM

Dean Ogurek said: ↑
Here in the USA, there is sometimes, strong resistance to electrification of vehicles.

Enacting laws banning power-charging stations, e-bike use, etc., are not unheard-of. It's hard to know the true motives behind such acts, whether political, economic, environmental, safety, etc. but, then there is also a growing movement to go back in time.

Click to expand...

I think its clear that many people do not realise that our climate is changing and getting on average hotter everywhere in the world. This damage is human-caused and I think climate skeptics are obstinate and resisting to change because;

1. They cannot accept that they are part of the cause of a global catastrophe.
2. The nostalgia that people have developed for the motorcars of old overrides their sense of the need of the urgency of progress.
3. An unwillingness to be part of a solution that they see as un-tangible, and that the solution is costlier than changing nothing.

rmagid1010, Friday at 8:12 PM

Jugulador said: ↑
To stay in topic: When will Reiza release to AMS2 a full electric car?
On the day the earth stands still,hope it never happens.

Intel Core i7-7700K, 4x 4,2 GHz GTX 1080 TI Turbo 11 G - 240 GB SSD Kingston - 16 GB DDR4-RAM Windows 10- 64-bit Mainboard: MSI H110M Pro - Thrustmaster TS-PC-Racer + Thrustmaster T-LCM Pedals - Sony Bravia 49 inch@1080p/60Hz
Dady Cairo, Friday at 8:23 PM

Dady Cairo said: ↑
On the day the earth stands still,hope it never happens.

Idk how many laps of Monza an electric sports car can do with full power.

At least in pc3 they were usable as there was no power to speak of

Last edited: Friday at 10:52 PM

rmagid1010,

Dean Ogurek said: ↑
Enacting laws banning power-charging stations, e-bike use, etc., are not unheard-of. It's hard to know the true motives behind such acts, whether political, economic, environmental, safety, etc. but, then there is also a growing movement to go back in time.

Click to expand...

E-bike and E-scooter bans have been more about obnoxious people not using them properly and the scummy startups that tried to benefit from claiming to be "environmentally friendly" while doing the complete opposite. In the cities that used these things, you could find junked E-scooters everywhere, meanwhile, the company just bought new ones instead of taking the time to repair them.

The big problem with electric and hydrogen is that they're still basically reliant on a predominantly coal-based power grid, which is almost as bad for the environment as ICE-based cars. If renewables or nuclear could supplement more of the grid, hydrogen would be a good option. From a consumer standpoint, if charging stations become as common as normal gas stations, electric looks like the most convenient for the daily commute, but it'll take a decade or more until that happens.

DavidGossett, Friday at 8:51 PM

Electric vehicles with fake engine sounds all the way to go. IMHO, I honestly want all the roads to be demolished cause they 'reflect' heat, and that's also one of the reasons its so becoming warmer. I hope soon teleportation will be a thing, and racing on a circuit will be the last old thing from back then. Yep.. Rally will be gone then.. With 8 billion people, roads, cars ,computers, and everything that generate heat or gasses...Strange how history unfolds in the craziest things.

Ryzen 5600x | 16 GB DDR4-3200 | 1TB NVME | 3070 8 GB | CSW 2.5 Fanatec | Formula 1 Black & BMW | Fanatec 1.5 & HE Shifter & Handbrake | HE Sprints | 49inch 5120x1440 120hz | W10 | FFB 65/15/10/0/Dri -02 | Steam: RacingMarius |
Marius H, Friday at 9:06 PM

rmagid1010 said: ↑
I think its clear that many people do not realise that our climate is changing and getting on average hotter everywhere in the world. This damage is human-caused and I think climate skeptics are obstinate and resisting to change because;

1. *They cannot accept that they are part of the cause of a global catastrophe.*
2. *The nostalgia that people have developed for the motorcars of old overrides their sense of the need of the urgency of progress.*
3. *An unwillingness to be part of a solution that they see as un-tangible, and that the solution is costlier than changing nothing.*

Click to expand...

If your "Climate Change" source is the IPCC, I recommend that you try to look for other sources. I'll not discuss it here because I don't think that it fits this topic or even this forum (and my off topic quote is already full... If I push it, probably will get banned lol).

rmagid1010 said: ↑
Idk how many laps of Monza an electric sports car can do with full power,l.

At least in pc3 they were usable as there was no power to speak of

The Volkswagen I.D. R got the second faster lap time at Nordscleife, that is a 20+Km lap... almost four Monza laps.

But, yeah, you are partly right. If made as in RL, AMS2's EVs would have some issues with autonomy for sure.

Marius H said: ↑
Electric vehicles with fake engine sounds all the way to go. IMHO, I honestly want all the roads to be demolished cause they 'reflect' heat, and that's also one of the reasons its so becoming warmer. I hope soon teleportation will be a thing, and racing on a circuit will be the last old thing from back then. Yep.. Rally will be gone then.. With 8 billion people, roads, cars ,computers, and everything that generate heat or gasses...Strange how history unfolds in the craziest things.

Click to expand...

Cool pavement reduces heat, but will it keep working?

"The study showed the surface temperatures during afternoon hours averaged 10.5 to 12 degrees Fahrenheit lower than that of traditional asphalt, while subsurface temperatures were lowered by 4.8 degrees."

Jugulador, Friday at 9:19 P

I think most hydrogen is made by converting natural gas.
CH4 + H2O > CO + 3 H2

Win10 Edu 64-bit build 20H2 i7-8700K, 32 GB, RTX 3080, 3440x1440 R1500 single screen,SC pro 2 r2, Acher Racing F64-USB**,** Clubsport V3 pedals, Default FFB 18/0/30/0/2, POÄNG DIY Rig
SaxOhare, Friday at 10:03 PM

rmagid1010 said: ↑
This damage is human-caused and I think climate skeptics are obstinate and resisting to change
I say, the industrialization, not only the people have contributed to it.this is called progress and prosperity.
Subdue the earth! (But how was that really meant?

Last edited: Friday at 11:09 PM

Intel Core i7-7700K, 4x 4,2 GHz GTX 1080 TI Turbo 11 G - 240 GB SSD Kingston - 16 GB DDR4-RAM Windows 10- 64-bit Mainboard: MSI H110M Pro - Thrustmaster TS-PC-Racer + Thrustmaster T-LCM Pedals - Sony Bravia 49 inch@1080p/60Hz

Dady Cairo, Friday at

I honestly can't see how electric vehicles will work, in Australia anyway. Our power grid already cannot cope and recently even hospitals in some states were asked to turn off power in parts of its facilities.

There is no major push to make our electric infrastructure more capable either.

Finally if our power grid cannot cope now how on earth can we expect it to function with millions of vehicles charging around the clock.

It just won't work.

Case: Cougar Panzer Max, CPU: AMD Ryzen 5950x, Cooling: EVGA CLC 280, Fans: 5 X Fractal Design AL 14 Prisma PWM ARGB, 1 X AL 12 Prisma PWM ARGB, PSU: Seasonic TX 1000 titanium, GPU: EVGA RTX 3090 FTW3 Ultra, OS:Microsoft Windows 10 Home 64bit, RAM: 2 X 16gb G.Skill Neo 16-16-16-36, MOBO: Gigabyte Aorus Master X570, SSD 1: Sabrent Rocket nvme m.2 pcie4 1tb, SSD 2: Rocket nvme m.2 pcie4 2tb, SSD 3:Rocket nvme m.2 pcie4 2tb, Screen: Acer Predator 38" 3840 X 1600, Wheel: Fanatec DD1, F1 2018 LE Rim, Advanced Paddle Module, SRM Fanatec APM Carbon Paddle Upgrade, PODIUM STEERING WHEEL PORSCHE 911 GT3.
Pedals: Heusinkveld Sprint 2 pedal set, Rig: Sim Lab P1-X, BBoxes: 2 x DSD P1, Shakers: 2 x Behringer NX3000D Amps, 4 x Dayton 50w transducers (for the time being), Keyboard Logitech G915, Headphones: Hyper X Cloud Flight S.

F1Aussie, Yesterday at 12:16 AM

SaxOhare said: ↑

I think most hydrogen is made by converting natural gas.
CH4 + H2O > CO + 3 H2

The next big thing is obtaining H2 from electrolysis through windmills... Before we make that financially viable in terms of cost of the energy we will probably be done with nuclear fusion though...

CPU i9-9500 | **RAM** 32 GB | **GPU** NVidia GeForce RTX 2080 Ti| **WHEEL** Fanatec Podium F1 2019 | **PEDALS** Fanatec CSP v3 w/load cell brake| **AMS FFB** Gain: 65 LFB: 0 FX: 30 Damping: 0 | **AMS FFB ON GT CARS** Gain: 60 LFB: 0 FX: 30 Damping: 0

Ettore, Yesterday at 2:10 AM

Jugulador said: ↑

Electricity is the future for cars... but the 2030 Agenda will fail (as it's already failing) because it's pure political decision, not scientific or a market real demand. And they will come naturally when the time is right... with decent power generation/distribution and safe + efficient batteries.

Even the combustion engines can become more efficient both with consumption as with emissions at the point they become almost harmless.

To stay in topic: When will Reiza release to AMS2 a full electric car?

Click to expand...

I completely disagree.

EV's will find a home for middle class suburbanite (if they exist past the 2020's) and the super rich (who can afford whatever they want anyway and often just fly around in a private jet anyway.)

However EV's are too expensive for the lower class as they destroy the used car market and too inconvenient for the rural middle class who just doesn't have the infrastructure to support them.

No one is going to pay $10,000 for a car that can only do 60-100 miles because the battery is shot, nor are they going to pay $8,000-$18,000 for a battery replacement. You're going to have lots full of unsellable rolling environmental hazards just like your already seeing with the first and second gen Nissan Leafs and the OG tezla roadsters

The solution to pollution is dilution, not a unsuitable whole hog change that will destroy the world's economy. Hydrogen fuel cell, Hydrogen combustion, and electric vehicles are the future, but I don't think you'll ever see EV'S take over without something like a World War 3 or a global disaster where MASSIVE amounts of infrastructure gets destroyed and needs to he rebuilt. Its impossible to modernize it all otherwise, both because of Bureaucratic red tapes and the sheer amount of money it would take.

Hydrogen on the other hand has non of the long term economic, environmental, or social, and greatly minimizes the potential infrastructure related issues. Yes safety is a concern, but if Toyota CEO is willing to climb into a hydrogen powered race car and run for 12 hours, then I feel safe driving one to work.

Dylan Hale, Yesterday at 3:39 AM

F1Aussie said: ↑

I honestly can't see how electric vehicles will work, in Australia anyway. Our power grid already cannot cope and recently even hospitals in some states were asked to turn off power in parts of its facilities.
There is no major push to make our electric infrastructure more capable either.
Finally if our power grid cannot cope now how on earth can we expect it to function with millions of vehicles charging around the clock.
It just won't work.

Click to expand...

It will work because so many of those vehicles are also storage devices. The Duck Curve ids a bunch of BS made up by deniers.

Just because billions of vehicle operate around the clock doesn't mean they have to or should.

deekracer, Yesterday at 4:35 AM

deekracer said: ↑
It will work because so many of those vehicles are also storage devices. The Duck Curve ids a bunch of BS made up by deniers.

Just because billions of vehicle operate around the clock doesn't mean they have to or should.
Click to expand...

Doesn't have to or should? There is the first failure, we are talking about people here, they would and will. People would drive home, plug them in and leave them on charge all night which no doubt they would need too as I believe they charge pretty slowly using residential power. As I said, our power infrastructure already cannot keep up, you suddenly put millions of vehicles on the road that also need to plug in to charge and it is a recipe for disaster. Electricity prices are already expensive here and are going up more. If the power companies could provide all the electricity required then they would also have the entire population by the balls and I could see them jacking up prices even more knowing that people have no choice so will have to pay regardless.
There certainly needs to be a lot more done all round here for it to be even remotely possible to work.

Someone recently tried to drive across the Nullarbor in Australia, around 3000km in an EV and said that basically it does not work at this point in time and a lot needs to be done for it to be feasible.

It takes us years to make minor progress in anything here with our infrastructure. I can see it staying a niche thing and alternative fuels being developed to keep ICE on the road longer.

Case: Cougar Panzer Max, CPU: AMD Ryzen 5950x, Cooling: EVGA CLC 280, Fans: 5 X Fractal Design AL 14 Prisma PWM ARGB, 1 X AL 12 Prisma PWM ARGB, PSU: Seasonic TX 1000 titanium, GPU: EVGA RTX 3090 FTW3 Ultra, OS:Microsoft Windows 10 Home 64bit, RAM: 2 X 16gb G.Skill Neo 16-16-16-36, MOBO: Gigabyte Aorus Master X570, SSD 1: Sabrent Rocket nvme m.2 pcie4 1tb, SSD 2: Rocket nvme m.2 pcie4 2tb, SSD 3:Rocket nvme m.2 pcie4 2tb, Screen: Acer Predator 38" 3840 X 1600, Wheel: Fanatec DD1, F1 2018 LE Rim, Advanced Paddle Module, SRM Fanatec APM Carbon Paddle Upgrade, PODIUM STEERING WHEEL PORSCHE 911 GT3.
Pedals: Heusinkveld Sprint 2 pedal set, Rig: Sim Lab P1-X, BBoxes: 2 x DSD P1, Shakers: 2 x Behringer NX3000D Amps, 4 x Dayton 50w transducers (for the time being), Keyboard Logitech G915, Headphones: Hyper X Cloud Flight S.

I just hope we get a single (maybe 2) car EV class in AMS2, because it is something different.
It might open up a new kind of racing. Also, I feel that having left field cars is the thing that sets AMS apart from games like acc.

Koen_Sch, Yesterday at

F1Aussie said: ↑
As I said, our power infrastructure already cannot keep up, you suddenly put millions of vehicles on the road that also need to plug in to charge and it is a recipe for disaster.

Click to expand...

Its emerging technology, and you're underestimating the power that economies of scale bring as it ramps up.
And this goes for both cars and power infrastructure.

Really, the exact same conversations were had 120 years ago during the transition from horses to cars when luddites clung on to theories that cars could never be cheap or efficient enough to replace horses.

farcar, Yesterday at 10:09 AM

Koen_Sch said: ↑
I just hope we get a single (maybe 2) car EV class in AMS2, because it is something different. It might open up a new kind of racing. Also, I feel that having left field cars is the thing that sets AMS apart from games like acc.

Click to expand...

R3E has a couple of electric touring cars like the seat cupra, its really weird to drive without ever changing gears. I say give it a go if you have r3e installed

rmagid1010, Yesterday at 11:11 A

Koen_Sch said: ↑
I just hope we get a single (maybe 2) car EV class in AMS2, because it is something different. It might open up a new kind of racing. Also, I feel that having left field cars is the thing that sets AMS apart from games like acc.

Click to expand...

1000hp, does jumps, 3 speed gearbox, big 'ole wing...

An error occurred.
Try watching this video on www.youtube.com, or enable JavaScript if it is disabled in your browser.

An error occurred.
Try watching this video on www.youtube.com, or enable JavaScript if it is disabled in your browser.

Last edited: Yesterday at 3:40 PM

DavidGossett, Yesterday at 3:27 P

farcar said: ↑
Its emerging technology, and you're underestimating the power that economies of scale bring as it ramps up.
And this goes for both cars and power infrastructure.

Really, the exact same conversations were had 120 years ago during the transition from horses to cars when luddites clung on to theories that cars could never be cheap or efficient enough to replace horses.

Click to expand...

That's also not true. Cheapness was probably a talking point up until the Model T, but if you couldn't afford a car, you could get a motorcycle without any extra bureaucratic red tape. No one gave a rats arse about efficiency because no matter how slow or wasteful a car was it was better than taking care of horses and cleaning up all the poo they created. In fact, that's one of the reasons EV'S were so well liked around the 1880's-1890's because they could go as far as a gas powered car but were quite and required less mantance.

Then gas powered engine became more efficient, cheaper, and more powerful, in the 1900's. Oil became plentiful, infrastructure was built, and only then did EV cars get shunned for not being efficient enough. What we're expecting today is literally the same thing, except with some added pressure from politicians who don't know anything other than how to kiss arse to those who donated to them.

Edit Also you talk about economics of scale. That only works when talking about production of new items, not revamping old ones.

That doesn't work when you talking about destroying half a city to get to the underground power lines so the grid doesn't poo itself when everyone gets off work at 5 and plugs their EV in at 6. That doesn't include placing chargers everywhere, or wireless chargers under every highway. That doesn't include the massive amounts of extra power that will have to be generated from the power plant ecomentalists shut down. Its just not feasible, especially as out lives depend more and more on tech.

Last edited: Yesterday at 3:54 PM

Dylan Hale, Yesterday at 3:49 PM

deekracer said: ↑
It will work because so many of those vehicles are also storage devices. The Duck Curve ids a bunch of BS made up by deniers.

Just because billions of vehicle operate around the clock doesn't mean they have to or should.

Click to expand...

That last line is the important one.

I don't give a single flying F about what should happen in your mind because I live in the real world. I have a life to live as does you, as does the billions of other people who live on this planet, and no one person or committee should have any say in what any of us do with our lives directly. That why we have laws, board guidelines that effect all equally vs Bob can't charge his car or use his AC on Tuesdays.

Too many people think they can get into a position of power and influence and start acting like life is a game of sim city and that people will just bend to their will or what should happen. Sorry, it doesn't work like that.

This whole conversation about EV cars is a perfect example. I've pointed multiple flaws socially, economically, and environmentally, and pointed out a reasonable fix on paper at least but no one has come back with a reasonable solution or explanation other than "well none of that matters because that's what should happen." I refuse to accept that.

Edit, rereading this, I apologize for coming off so aggressive. But I really do care about this subject alot.

Last edited: Yesterday at 4:44 PM

Dylan Hale, Yesterday at 4:14 PM

Dylan Hale said: ↑
I completely disagree.

EV's will find a home for middle class suburbanite (if they exist past the 2020's) and the super rich (who can afford whatever they want anyway and often just fly around in a private jet anyway.)

However EV's are too expensive for the lower class as they destroy the used car market and too inconvenient for the rural middle class who just doesn't have the infrastructure to support them.

No one is going to pay $10,000 for a car that can only do 60-100 miles because the battery is shot, nor are they going to pay $8,000-$18,000 for a battery replacement. You're going to have lots full of unsellable rolling environmental hazards just like your already seeing with the first and second gen Nissan Leafs and the OG tezla roadsters

The solution to pollution is dilution, not a unsuitable whole hog change that will destroy the world's economy. Hydrogen fuel cell, Hydrogen combustion, and electric vehicles are the future, but I don't think you'll ever see EV'S take over without something like a World War 3 or a global disaster where MASSIVE amounts of infrastructure gets destroyed and needs to he rebuilt. Its impossible to modernize it all otherwise, both because of Bureaucratic red tapes and the sheer amount of money it would take.

Hydrogen on the other hand has non of the long term economic, environmental, or social, and greatly minimizes the potential infrastructure related issues. Yes safety is a concern, but if Toyota CEO is willing to climb into a hydrogen powered race car and run for 12 hours, then I feel safe driving one to work.

Click to expand...

We are basically agreeing with EV. WITH CURRENT TECH things will go the way you say... IF the new batteries and power generation technologies (or a massive adoption of nuclear plants, what I doubt for a number of reasons), them the replacement will be not only a marketing demand, but also a political one for countries that are today kneeled to OPEC and the petrodollars power. For batteries, there are two promising programs, one from Tesla and one from Brazilian Volkswagen in a partnership with CBMM (a metallurgic company) that has some near future potential, at least this last one, that also is eco friendly all the battery's cycle (or, at least, is what they are aiming for) and much more affordable that the current techs (and also much safer, that wouldn't be by itself an advantage).

The hydrogen still have the issue of it's production, that is very expensive. There is a British government paper about it, saying that it's feasible because IN THEIR CASE they have no extraction of petroleum in their lands and will always be at the mercy of other countries, with their wars, crisis and caprices. So, they are already paying high for fuel and this change could work. For

Ireland is even clearer that hydrogen could be a solution, because they have not even coal (that is still found in the British isle). But, yeah, I think that all these issues can be circled with due time and research/development. Shell had a program for development of hydrogen for vehicular use in Ireland, that I saw some promising videos and papers about it, but today Shell simply removed the page from their official website... so I believe that something gone wrong.

For today, ICE still the more effective, both with marketing demand as with efficiency. Still there is room for development of cars and fuel. In Brazil, for example, basically all cars made in the last fifteen years run both with gas and ethanol, and ethanol cars are around since early 80. Ethanol solves the soo said carbon issue because for sugar cane to grow it should take all the "burned" carbon back, but still it's economically unfeasible to turn all our fleet into ethanol or any other biofuel and it works as a complementary fuel source. People still looking for other sources of fuel.. maybe someone find it, but we can't count with the egg until the hen pops it out lol

Last edited: Yesterday at 4:25 PM

Jugulador, Yesterday at 4:16

Jugulador said: ↑

We are basically agreeing with EV. WITH CURRENT TECH things will go the way you say... IF the new batteries and power generation technologies (or a massive adoption of nuclear plants, what I doubt for a number of reasons), them the replacement will be not only a marketing demand, but also a political one for countries that are today kneeled to OPEC and the petrodollars power. For batteries, there is two promising programs, one from Tesla and one from Brazilian Volkswagen in a partnership with CBMM (a metallurgic company) that has some near future potential (this last one) is eco friendly all the battery's cycle (or, at least, is what they are aiming for) and much more affordable that the current techs (and also much safer, that wouldn't be by itself an advantage).

The hydrogen still have the issue of it's production, that is very expensive. There is a British government paper about it, saying that it's feasible because IN THEIR CASE they have no extraction of petroleum in their lands and will always be at the mercy of other countries, with their wars, crisis and caprices. So, they are already paying high for fuel and this change could work. For *Ireland is even clearer that hydrogen could be a solution, because they have not even coal (that is still found in the British isle). But, yeah, I think that all these issues can be circled with due time and research/development. Shell had a program for development of hydrogen for vehicular use in Ireland, that I saw some promising videos and papers about it, but today Shell simply removed the page from their official website... so I believe that something gone wrong.*

For today, ICE still the more effective, both with marketing demand as with efficiency. Still there is room for development of cars and fuel. In Brazil, for example, basically all cars made in the last fifteen years run both with gas and ethanol, and ethanol cars are around since early 80. Ethanol solves the soo said carbon issue because for sugar cane to grow it should take all the "burned" carbon back, but still it's economically unfeasible to turn all our fleet into ethanol or any other biofuel and it works as a complementary fuel source. People still looking for other sources of fuel.. maybe someone find it, but we can't count with the egg until the hen pops it out lol

Click to expand...

What Im trying to say is 1 large wholesale change to 1 power source is not feasible regardless of what it is. EV's have the largest amount of baggage that will need to be fixed, Hydrogen does as well but its slightly less in the grand scheme of things than EV's IMO and from what I've read. Therefore I like Hydrogen better.

To stay in topic: When will Reiza release to AMS2 a full electric car?

Click to expand...

I completely disagree.

EV's will find a home for middle class suburbanite (if they exist past the 2020's) and the super rich (who can afford whatever they want anyway and often just fly around in a private jet anyway.)

However EV's are too expensive for the lower class as they destroy the used car market and too inconvenient for the rural middle class who just doesn't have the infrastructure to support them.

No one is going to pay $10,000 for a car that can only do 60-100 miles because the battery is shot, nor are they going to pay $8,000-$18,000 for a battery replacement. You're going to have lots full of unsellable rolling environmental hazards just like your already seeing with the first and second gen Nissan Leafs and the OG tezla roadsters

The solution to pollution is dilution, not a unsuitable whole hog change that will destroy the world's economy. Hydrogen fuel cell, Hydrogen combustion, and electric vehicles are the future, but I don't think you'll ever see EV'S take over without something like a World War 3 or a global disaster where MASSIVE amounts of infrastructure gets destroyed and needs to he rebuilt. Its impossible to modernize it all otherwise, both because of Bureaucratic red tapes and the sheer amount of money it would take.

Hydrogen on the other hand has non of the long term economic, environmental, or social, and greatly minimizes the potential infrastructure related issues. Yes safety is a concern, but if Toyota CEO is willing to climb into a hydrogen powered race car and run for 12 hours, then I feel safe driving one to work.

Dylan Hale, Yesterday at 3:39 AM

F1Aussie said: ↑

I honestly can't see how electric vehicles will work, in Australia anyway. Our power grid already cannot cope and recently even hospitals in some states were asked to turn off power in parts of its facilities.
There is no major push to make our electric infrastructure more capable either.
Finally if our power grid cannot cope now how on earth can we expect it to function with millions of vehicles charging around the clock.
It just won't work.

Click to expand...

It will work because so many of those vehicles are also storage devices. The Duck Curve ids a bunch of BS made up by deniers.

Just because billions of vehicle operate around the clock doesn't mean they have to or should.

deekracer, Yesterday at 4:35 AM

deekracer said: ↑
It will work because so many of those vehicles are also storage devices. The Duck Curve ids a bunch of BS made up by deniers.

Just because billions of vehicle operate around the clock doesn't mean they have to or should.
Click to expand...
Doesn't have to or should? There is the first failure, we are talking about people here, they would and will. People would drive home, plug them in and leave them on charge all night which no doubt they would need too as I believe they charge pretty slowly using residential power. As I said, our power infrastructure already cannot keep up, you suddenly put millions of vehicles on the road that also need to plug in to charge and it is a recipe for disaster. Electricity prices are already expensive here and are going up more. If the power companies could provide all the electricity required then they would also have the entire population by the balls and I could see them jacking up prices even more knowing that people have no choice so will have to pay regardless.
There certainly needs to be a lot more done all round here for it to be even remotely possible to work.

Someone recently tried to drive across the Nullarbor in Australia, around 3000km in an EV and said that basically it does not work at this point in time and a lot needs to be done for it to be feasible.

It takes us years to make minor progress in anything here with our infrastructure. I can see it staying a niche thing and alternative fuels being developed to keep ICE on the road longer.

Case: Cougar Panzer Max, CPU: AMD Ryzen 5950x, Cooling: EVGA CLC 280, Fans: 5 X Fractal Design AL 14 Prisma PWM ARGB, 1 X AL 12 Prisma PWM ARGB, PSU: Seasonic TX 1000 titanium, GPU: EVGA RTX 3090 FTW3 Ultra, OS:Microsoft Windows 10 Home 64bit, RAM: 2 X 16gb G.Skill Neo 16-16-16-36, MOBO: Gigabyte Aorus Master X570, SSD 1: Sabrent Rocket nvme m.2 pcie4 1tb, SSD 2: Rocket nvme m.2 pcie4 2tb, SSD 3:Rocket nvme m.2 pcie4 2tb, Screen: Acer Predator 38" 3840 X 1600, Wheel: Fanatec DD1, F1 2018 LE Rim, Advanced Paddle Module, SRM Fanatec APM Carbon Paddle Upgrade, PODIUM STEERING WHEEL PORSCHE 911 GT3.
Pedals: Heusinkveld Sprint 2 pedal set, Rig: Sim Lab P1-X, BBoxes: 2 x DSD P1, Shakers: 2 x Behringer NX3000D Amps, 4 x Dayton 50w transducers (for the time being), Keyboard Logitech G915, Headphones: Hyper X Cloud Flight S.

I just hope we get a single (maybe 2) car EV class in AMS2, because it is something different.

It might open up a new kind of racing. Also, I feel that having left field cars is the thing that sets AMS apart from games like acc.

Koen_Sch, Yesterday at

F1Aussie said: ↑
As I said, our power infrastructure already cannot keep up, you suddenly put millions of vehicles on the road that also need to plug in to charge and it is a recipe for disaster.

Click to expand...

Its emerging technology, and you're underestimating the power that economies of scale bring as it ramps up.

And this goes for both cars and power infrastructure.

Really, the exact same conversations were had 120 years ago during the transition from horses to cars when luddites clung on to theories that cars could never be cheap or efficient enough to replace horses.

farcar, Yesterday at 10:09 AM

Koen_Sch said: ↑
I just hope we get a single (maybe 2) car EV class in AMS2, because it is something different.
It might open up a new kind of racing. Also, I feel that having left field cars is the thing that sets AMS apart from games like acc.

Click to expand...

R3E has a couple of electric touring cars like the seat cupra, its really weird to drive without ever changing gears. I say give it a go if you have r3e installed

rmagid1010, Yesterday at 11:11 A

Koen_Sch said: ↑
I just hope we get a single (maybe 2) car EV class in AMS2, because it is something different.
It might open up a new kind of racing. Also, I feel that having left field cars is the thing that sets AMS apart from games like acc.

Click to expand...

1000hp, does jumps, 3 speed gearbox, big 'ole wing...

An error occurred.

Try watching this video on www.youtube.com, or enable JavaScript if it is disabled in your browser.

An error occurred.

Try watching this video on www.youtube.com, or enable JavaScript if it is disabled in your browser.

Last edited: Yesterday at 3:40 PM

DavidGossett, Yesterday at 3:27 P

farcar said: ↑
Its emerging technology, and you're underestimating the power that economies of scale bring as it ramps up.
And this goes for both cars and power infrastructure.

Really, the exact same conversations were had 120 years ago during the transition from horses to cars when luddites clung on to theories that cars could never be cheap or efficient enough to replace horses.

Click to expand...

That's also not true. Cheapness was probably a talking point up until the Model T, but if you couldn't afford a car, you could get a motorcycle without any extra bureaucratic red tape. No one gave a rats arse about efficiency because no matter how slow or wasteful a car was it was better than taking care of horses and cleaning up all the poo they created. In fact, that's one of the reasons EV'S were so well liked around the 1880's-1890's because they could go as far as a gas powered car but were quite and required less mantance.

Then gas powered engine became more efficient, cheaper, and more powerful, in the 1900's. Oil became plentiful, infrastructure was built, and only then did EV cars get shunned for not being efficient enough. What we're expecting today is literally the same thing, except with some added pressure from politicians who don't know anything other than how to kiss arse to those who donated to them.

Edit Also you talk about economics of scale. That only works when talking about production of new items, not revamping old ones.

That doesn't work when you talking about destroying half a city to get to the underground power lines so the grid doesn't poo itself when everyone gets off work at 5 and plugs their EV in at 6. That doesn't include placing chargers everywhere, or wireless chargers under every highway. That doesn't include the massive amounts of extra power that will have to be generated from the power plant ecomentalists shut down. Its just not feasible, especially as out lives depend more and more on tech.

Last edited: Yesterday at 3:54 PM

Dylan Hale, Yesterday at 3:49 PM

deekracer said: ↑
It will work because so many of those vehicles are also storage devices. The Duck Curve ids a bunch of BS made up by deniers.

Just because billions of vehicle operate around the clock doesn't mean they have to or should.

Click to expand...

That last line is the important one.

I don't give a single flying F about what should happen in your mind because I live in the real world. I have a life to live as does you, as does the billions of other people who live on this planet, and no one person or committee should have any say in what any of us do with our lives directly. That why we have laws, board guidelines that effect all equally vs Bob can't charge his car or use his AC on Tuesdays.

Too many people think they can get into a position of power and influence and start acting like life is a game of sim city and that people will just bend to their will or what should happen. Sorry, it doesn't work like that.

This whole conversation about EV cars is a perfect example. I've pointed multiple flaws socially, economically, and environmentally, and pointed out a reasonable fix on paper at least but no one has come back with a reasonable solution or explanation other than "well none of that matters because that's what should happen." I refuse to accept that.

Edit, rereading this, I apologize for coming off so aggressive. But I really do care about this subject alot.

Last edited: Yesterday at 4:44 PM

Dylan Hale, Yesterday at 4:14 PM

Dylan Hale said: ↑
I completely disagree.

EV's will find a home for middle class suburbanite (if they exist past the 2020's) and the super rich (who can afford whatever they want anyway and often just fly around in a private jet anyway.)

However EV's are too expensive for the lower class as they destroy the used car market and too inconvenient for the rural middle class who just doesn't have the infrastructure to support them.

No one is going to pay $10,000 for a car that can only do 60-100 miles because the battery is shot, nor are they going to pay $8,000-$18,000 for a battery replacement. You're going to have lots full of unsellable rolling environmental hazards just like your already seeing with the first and second gen Nissan Leafs and the OG tezla roadsters

The solution to pollution is dilution, not a unsuitable whole hog change that will destroy the world's economy. Hydrogen fuel cell, Hydrogen combustion, and electric vehicles are the future, but I don't think you'll ever see EV'S take over without something like a World War 3 or a global disaster where MASSIVE amounts of infrastructure gets destroyed and needs to he rebuilt. Its impossible to modernize it all otherwise, both because of Bureaucratic red tapes and the sheer amount of money it would take.

Hydrogen on the other hand has non of the long term economic, environmental, or social, and greatly minimizes the potential infrastructure related issues. Yes safety is a concern, but if Toyota CEO is willing to climb into a hydrogen powered race car and run for 12 hours, then I feel safe driving one to work.

Click to expand...

We are basically agreeing with EV. WITH CURRENT TECH things will go the way you say... IF the new batteries and power generation technologies (or a massive adoption of nuclear plants, what I doubt for a number of reasons), them the replacement will be not only a marketing demand, but also a political one for countries that are today kneeled to OPEC and the petrodollars power. For batteries, there are two promising programs, one from Tesla and one from Brazilian Volkswagen in a partnership with CBMM (a metallurgic company) that has some near future potential, at least this last one, that also is eco friendly all the battery's cycle (or, at least, is what they are aiming for) and much more affordable that the current techs (and also much safer, that wouldn't be by itself an advantage).

The hydrogen still have the issue of it's production, that is very expensive. There is a British government paper about it, saying that it's feasible because IN THEIR CASE they have no extraction of petroleum in their lands and will always be at the mercy of other countries, with their wars, crisis and caprices. So, they are already paying high for fuel and this change could work. For Ireland is even clearer that hydrogen could be a solution, because they have not even coal (that is still found in the British isle). But, yeah, I think that all these issues can be circled with due time and research/development. Shell had a program for development of hydrogen for vehicular use in Ireland, that I saw some promising videos and papers about it, but today Shell simply removed the page from their official website... so I believe that something gone wrong.

For today, ICE still the more effective, both with marketing demand as with efficiency. Still there is room for development of cars and fuel. In Brazil, for example, basically all cars made in the last fifteen years run both with gas and ethanol, and ethanol cars are around since early 80. Ethanol solves the soo said carbon issue because for sugar cane to grow it should take all the "burned" carbon back, but still it's economically unfeasible to turn all our fleet into ethanol or any other biofuel and it works as a complementary fuel source. People still looking for other sources of fuel.. maybe someone find it, but we can't count with the egg until the hen pops it out lol

Last edited: Yesterday at 4:25 PM

Jugulador, Yesterday at 4:16

Jugulador said: ↑

We are basically agreeing with EV. WITH CURRENT TECH things will go the way you say... IF the new batteries and power generation technologies (or a massive adoption of nuclear plants, what I doubt for a number of reasons), them the replacement will be not only a marketing demand, but also a political one for countries that are today kneeled to OPEC and the petrodollars power. For batteries, there is two promising programs, one from Tesla and one from Brazilian Volkswagen in a partnership with CBMM (a metallurgic company) that has some near future potential (this last one) is eco friendly all the battery's cycle (or, at least, is what they are aiming for) and much more affordable that the current techs (and also much safer, that wouldn't be by itself an advantage).

The hydrogen still have the issue of it's production, that is very expensive. There is a British government paper about it, saying that it's feasible because IN THEIR CASE they have no extraction of petroleum in their lands and will always be at the mercy of other countries, with their wars, crisis and caprices. So, they are already paying high for fuel and this change could work. For Ireland is even clearer that hydrogen could be a solution, because they have not even coal (that is still found in the British isle). But, yeah, I think that all these issues can be circled with due time and research/development. Shell had a program for development of hydrogen for vehicular use in Ireland, that I saw some promising videos and papers about it, but today Shell simply removed the page from their official website... so I believe that something gone wrong.

For today, ICE still the more effective, both with marketing demand as with efficiency. Still there is room for development of cars and fuel. In Brazil, for example, basically all cars made in the last fifteen years run both with gas and ethanol, and ethanol cars are around since early 80. Ethanol solves the soo said carbon issue because for sugar cane to grow it should take all the "burned" carbon back, but still it's economically unfeasible to turn all our fleet into ethanol or any other biofuel and it works as a complementary fuel source. People still looking for other sources of fuel.. maybe someone find it, but we can't count with the egg until the hen pops it out lol

Click to expand...

What Im trying to say is 1 large wholesale change to 1 power source is not feasible regardless of what it is. EV's have the largest amount of baggage that

will need to be fixed, Hydrogen does as well but its slightly less in the grand scheme of things than EV's IMO and from what I've read. Therefore I like Hydrogen better.